UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION) MDL NO. 1456) Civil Action No. 01-12257-PBS) Subcategory Case No. 03-10643
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)) Judge Patti B. Saris
The City of New York, et al.) Magistrate Judge Bowler
v. Abbott Laboratories, et al.)))

PLAINTIFFS' REVISED CONSENTED-TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY FROM DEFENDANT MERCK & CO., INC.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(B)(3), plaintiffs, the City of New York and New York Counties, hereby file this revised motion for leave to file a reply in further support of plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery from Defendant Merck & Co., Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Merck") so that plaintiffs' reply will be due October 23, 2009 rather than October 16, 2009. In support of this motion, plaintiffs state the following:

- 1. On September 4, 2009, plaintiffs filed their Motion to Compel Discovery from Defendant Merck. *See* Docket. No. 6487; Sub-docket. No. 158.
- 2. Pursuant to L.R. 37, defendant Merck's response was due within 14 days thereafter, September 28, 2009.
- 3. On September 17, 2009, defendant Merck filed an Unopposed Motion for Enlargement of Time to respond by October 5, 2009. *See* Docket. No. 6509; Sub-docket. No. 165.
- 4. On October 5, 2009, defendant Merck filed its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery from Defendant Merck. *See* Docket. No. 6572; Sub-docket. No. 178.

- 5. Defendant Merck consented to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Reply in Further Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery from Defendant Merck by October 16, 2009.
- 6. On October 7, 2009, plaintiffs filed their Consented-To Motion for Leave to File Reply in Further Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery from Defendant Merck & Co., Inc. *See* Docket No. 6578, Sub-docket No. 171.
- 7. On October 14, 2009, Merck consented to plaintiffs' request for a one-week extension to file their reply by October 23, 2009, rather than October 16, 2009.

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiffs respectfully request that this motion be granted. A proposed order is attached hereto.

Dated: October 16, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

City of New York and New York Counties in MDL 1456 except Nassau and Orange, by

KIRBY McINERNEY, LLP

825 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 (212) 371-6600

By: /s/ Joanne M. Cicala Joanne M. Cicala Kathryn B. Allen

Ross B. Brooks, Esq.
MILBERG LLP
One Pennsylvania Plaza
New York, NY 10119
(212) 594-5300
Special Counsel for the County of Nassau

Theresa A. Vitello, Esq. LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6205 Counsel for the County of Orange **Certification Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1**

The undersigned counsel certifies pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(2) that she conferred

with counsel for defendant and counsel for defendant has consented to the filing of this motion.

Dated: October 16, 2009

/s/ Joanne M. Cicala

Joanne M. Cicala

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kathryn Allen, hereby certify that on the 16th day of October, 2009, I caused a true and

correct copy of the above Revised Consented-To Motion for Leave to File Reply in Support of

Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery from Defendant Merck & Co., Inc., to be delivered to

counsel of record for defendants by electronic service pursuant to Case Management Order No. 2

entered by the Honorable Patti B. Saris in MDL No. 1456.

Dated: October 16, 2009

/s/ Kathryn B. Allen

Kathryn B. Allen

Kirby McInerney LLP 825 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

(212) 371-6600

3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION) MDL NO. 1456) Civil Action No. 01-12257-PBS) Subcategory Case No. 03-10643
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)) Judge Patti B. Saris
The City of New York, et al.)) Magistrate Judge Bowler
v.)
Abbott Laboratories, et al.)
[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' REVISED CONSENTED-TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY FROM DEFENDANT MERCK & CO., INC. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the City of New York and New York Counties shall	
be granted leave to file their Reply in Further Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery	
from Defendant Merck & Co., Inc., by October 23, 2009.	
Dated: October, 2009	
-]	Hon. Marianne B. Bowler

United States Magistrate Judge